



Transnational Meeting 1 Report – Dublin, Ireland

Smaile Project 2024-1-HR01-KA220-SCH-000254267 Dates: 11/10/2024 - 13/10/2024

Total respondents: 10

Introduction

This report summarizes the results of the evaluation survey for the first transnational meeting of the SMAILE project, held in Dublin from October 11-13. The evaluation was based on a questionnaire with a rating scale from 1 (unsatisfied) to 5 (very satisfied) and sections for open-ended comments. A total of 10 responses were received.

Comparative Analysis of Quantitative Results

The quantitative results demonstrate an exceptionally high level of satisfaction among the participants, with total agreement in the ratings. In all survey questions, 100% of the 10 respondents gave the maximum score (5 out of 5), indicating unanimous satisfaction with the event's organization.

- Accommodation: 100% of participants were "very satisfied" with the accommodation provided. The location and ease of access to the meeting venue also received a perfect score, with all respondents rating it a 5. The comfort of the accommodation for their stay was also rated a 5 by 100% of the participants.
- **Organization and Materials:** The level of organization of the activities was rated a 5 by all 10 participants. Similarly, the meeting materials and schedule, provided in advance, were considered "clear and useful" by 100% of the respondents, who gave them the maximum score.
- Content and Presentations: The relevance of the meeting content to the project's objectives also received 100% scores of 5. The effectiveness of the presentations and workshops was rated a 5 by all participants.
- Participation: All respondents indicated that they were given enough opportunities to ask questions and participate in discussions, rating this aspect a 5.
- **Food and Beverages:** The quality and variety of the food, as well as the sufficient amount of food and drink provided during breaks and meals, received a perfect score of 5 from 100% of the participants.

Comparative Analysis of Qualitative Results

The open-ended comments, although fewer in number than the quantitative ratings, offer detailed insights into the strengths and areas for improvement.

- Accommodation: Comments about the accommodation were very positive.
 Participants described it as a "gorgeous house in a great location and perfect for
 our needs". Another comment highlighted that the accommodation was ideal for
 "facilitating collaboration with partners and conducting joint project meetings,
 providing an ideal environment for both networking and focused work".
- **Organization:** Regarding the overall organization, the feedback was very favorable. One comment praised "James" as "just amazing", and another stated that the meeting was "well organised" and they had "no suggestions".
- **Content:** Comments on the content and delivery of the meetings were sparse but positive, with one describing the experience as "Wonderous".
- Food: The food also received positive comments, described as "delightful" and
 "very tasty". However, there was a suggestion for "More food" and a comment
 about being able to cook and eat together in the house "once or twice during the
 meeting".
- Suggestions for the future: Suggestions focused on improving the format of
 future transnational meetings. One participant suggested "explor[ing] using Al
 tools for automatic transcription, aligning with the project's focus on integrating
 and familiarizing ourselves with AI technologies". Another comment praised the
 idea of staying in a BnB as it allowed for meetings to be held when needed.

Overall Conclusion

The evaluation of the transnational meeting in Dublin was a resounding success in all key aspects. The unanimous satisfaction of the participants in the quantitative ratings and the largely positive qualitative comments confirm that the meeting was well-organized, relevant, and effective. The strengths included the accommodation, the organization of activities, and the relevance of the content. The constructive suggestions for improvement, such as incorporating Al tools or the possibility of home-cooked meals, can be considered for future meetings, although the overall feedback was overwhelmingly positive.