



Transnational Meeting 2 Report – Cres, Croatia

Smaile Project 2024-1-HR01-KA220-SCH-000254267 Dates: 19/06/2025 – 21/06/2025 Total respondents: 8

Introduction

This report provides a detailed analysis of the evaluation results for the second transnational meeting of the SMAILE project, held in Cres from June 19-21. The evaluation is based on a questionnaire with a rating scale from 1 (unsatisfied) to 5 (very satisfied), and open-ended comments. A total of 8 responses were received.

Comparative Analysis of Quantitative Results

The quantitative results show a high level of satisfaction, but with some notable differences compared to the Dublin meeting, which received a perfect 100% satisfaction rating in all categories.

- Accommodation: Satisfaction with the accommodation provided was rated as a 5 by 100% of the participants. The comfort and suitability for the stay also received a 5 from 100% of respondents. However, the location's rating was slightly lower, with 87.5% of participants (7 out of 8) giving it a 5, while 12.5% (1 out of 8) gave it a score of 2.
- **Organization and Materials:** The organization of the activities was rated a 5 by 87.5% of participants, with 12.5% giving it a 4. Similarly, 75% of respondents rated the clarity and usefulness of the materials and schedule with a 5, while 12.5% gave a score of 4, and another 12.5% rated it a 2.
- Content and Presentations: The relevance of the content was rated a 5 by 87.5% of participants, with 12.5% giving it a 4. The effectiveness of the presentations and workshops was also rated a 5 by 87.5% of respondents, with 12.5% rating it a 3.
- Participation: As in Dublin, participants were given sufficient opportunities to ask
 questions and participate, with this aspect receiving a rating of 5 from 100% of
 respondents.
- **Food and Beverages:** The quality and variety of the food received a rating of 5 from 87.5% of participants, with 12.5% giving it a 4. The sufficiency of the food and drink was rated a 5 by 87.5% of respondents, while 12.5% rated it a 3.

Comparative Analysis of Qualitative Results

The qualitative comments provide valuable context for the quantitative results and reveal some of the differing opinions.

- Accommodation: The accommodation received very positive comments, being
 described as "Comfortable, clean, welcoming, good temperature, perfect for work
 and relaxation" and "Amazing venue". A participant highlighted it as a "Perfect
 accomodation for meetings, sleeping, eating, relaxing time.. all in one!!!".
 However, one participant noted that it was "Maybe a bit too hard to access Cres,
 long trip for me".
- Organization: Comments on the organization were generally positive, with one stating "Everything was great" and another adding that it was "Well organised and clear instructions from the Croatian Team". A suggestion for future meetings was to "try to book a house for all the partners to stay together working," similar to the Dublin format.
- Food: While one comment praised the "Brilliant breakfasts" and another
 mentioned that the "Croatian partners provided us of all necessary food and
 drinks", there was a suggestion to "order pizzas at home and share it all
 together: simple and warmful" because they "thought it was too long to be served
 at restaurant".
- Future Suggestions: A participant commented, "No need to improve" the format of future meetings. Another suggested a "More central place, easy of access?". A specific topic request was to "Share more about our current use of Al in class".

Overall Conclusion

The evaluation of the Cres meeting shows great success, with the vast majority of participants rating the experience with the maximum score. Unlike the Dublin meeting, where satisfaction was unanimous across all categories, this time slight variations were noted in the ratings for location, organization, content, and food. The qualitative comments explain these minor differences: some participants found the travel to Cres lengthy, and there were suggestions regarding the format of meals and accommodation. Overall, the event was considered very successful and productive, highlighting the project's strength and the partners' dedication.